

Session #1: Low-degree peak-bagging techniques

Jérôme Ballot
IRAP, Toulouse (France)

SpaceInn Workshop 4.1
Peak-bagging in helio- and asteroseismology

Tenerife 11/03/2014

Context & Aims of session #1

- Concerned observations:
 - low- l $\Leftrightarrow l \leq 3$ (or 4)
 - Sun as a star observation
 - Asteroseismology
 - for intermediate- & high- l \Rightarrow Session #4
- Focus on solar-type oscillations
 - classical pulsators out of scope of this session
- Extracting mode from a power spectrum
 - PS is assumed to be already computed
- Aim #1: define the state of the art and needed developments
- Aim #2: define more precisely the pipeline to be delivered

Models of modes

- modes = multiplet of $2l+1$ Lorentzian profiles
- Parameters:
 - Sun: ν , H , Γ , ν_s , a (asymmetry)
 - $H(m)/H(m=l)$ are fixed – instrument dependence
 - Star: ν , H , Γ , ν_s , i (inclination)
 - no asymmetry; $H(m)/\sum H(m) = f(i)$
 - (radial)
- About splitting ν_s : one splitting for a given mode
OR different splittings for different m .

Fitting approaches

- local fit = one mode or one pair 02 or 13 (or sometimes 3 modes 024)
 - Usual for the Sun (and GK-type stars)
 - all parameters are free and independent
 - some p. are fixed at high freq <- Q
- Global fit = the whole spectrum
 - usual for other stars
 - reducing the number of free parameters
 - general Γ value for H , Γ per large spacing <- Q
 - $H(l) / H(l=0)$ is global (fixed or not) <- Q
 - i is global, ν_s is global for pure p modes
 - Importance of the background: fixed / free w constraints <- Q

Estimation of parameters

- 2 main approaches
 - Punctual estimations (Frequentist approach)
 - Maximum likelihood estimation (MLE)
 - Maximum posterior probability (MAP)
 - used for the Sun, fast, pb of local max, error estimation
 - Sampling methods of posterior probability (Bayesian approach)
 - Markov Chain Monte Carlo
 - Nested Sampling <- Enrico
 - developed for other stars, slow, global view of space parameters, model comparison

Guesses & priors

- **Q:** How to define guesses?
 - especially for automated pipeline
- **Q:** How to define priors (for Bayesian approach)?
 - Uniform prior *IS* a prior
 - Example: uniform $i \neq$ isotropic distribution
isotropic distribution $\leftrightarrow p(i) di = \sin i di$
 - Impact of priors **<- Q**

Different “regimes”

- Solar Case
 - Q: Do High frequencies need a special treatment? (HF: small separation \sim width).
What about low frequencies ($\Gamma <$ or \sim bin or low S/N) ?
- 3 categories of solar-like stars
 - GK-type star (most similar to the Sun)
 - Q: is a global fit necessary?
 - F-type star (02 are blended)
 - Q: can we survive without a Bayesian method?
 - sub-giants (mixed modes appear)
 - Q: how to treat mixed modes? (problem of guesses, hypotheses about H , Γ , ν_s are no more valid)
 - RG beyond the scope of this discussion (or not?)

Error estimation

- Natural for sampling methods
 - direct access to PDF
 - **Q**: How to summarize the PDF?
 - median: invariant by variable change
- MLE -> estimation from the Hessian matrix
 - based on the Cramér-Rao bound
 - only a lower limit of errors
 - need to be computed for the exact values of parameters, not the fitted one (by definition, never fulfilled).

Robustness and biases

- Robustness of the extraction
 - Is a fit relevant? Is it signal? **Q:** Which test to be used?
 - Where do we stop extracting modes at low/high freq (both for local and global approaches)
 - What happens at low S/N **<- Hans**
 - case of multiple maximum
 - Effect of spectral resolution
- Biases of parameters
 - Effect of spectral resolution and S/N
 - Example: extraction of high frequency modes after 3 months and 3 years of kepler data
 - **Q:** Biases or error underestimations?

Pipelines

- « D4.4) Tools to extract low frequency mode frequencies: New techniques to extract low-amplitude signals (p and g) modes [month 36] »
- « D5.1) Analysis tools for solar-like oscillators: Analysis tools for application to Kepler, CoRoT, SONG and other bases of asteroseismic datasets, including extraction of convective envelope depths, convective core properties, envelope He abundances (software) [month 36] »
 - First stage this pipeline is peakbagging...
- **Q:** For which users? For analyzing which stars? Which spectrum model? Which method? Which approaches? Which language?

Other questions

- Let us start the discussion...